

The Epidemiology of Dry Eye Disease: Report of the Epidemiology Subcommittee of the International Dry Eye WorkShop (2007)

ABSTRACT The report of the Epidemiology Subcommittee of the 2007 Dry Eye WorkShop summarizes current knowledge on the epidemiology of dry eye disease, providing prevalence and incidence data from various populations. It stresses the need to expand epidemiological studies to additional geographic regions, to incorporate multiple races and ethnicities in future studies, and to build a consensus on dry eye diagnostic criteria for epidemiological studies. Recommendations are made regarding several characteristics of dry eye questionnaires that might be suitable for use in epidemiological studies and randomized controlled clinical trials. Risk factors for dry eye and morbidity of the disease are identified, and the impact of dry eye disease on quality of life and visual function are outlined. Suggestions are made for further prospective research that would lead to improvement of both eye and general public health.

KEY WORDS DEWS, dry eye, Dry Eye WorkShop, epidemiology, risk factors, questionnaire

I. INTRODUCTION

Epidemiology is the branch of biomedical research that involves the study of the distribution and determinants of health and disease in human populations. The frequencies and types of disease in a

population and the factors that influence the distribution of the disease in the population and its subgroups can be identified through epidemiologic study.

In the mid-1990s, the extent of the dry eye problem worldwide was poorly understood. A workshop co-sponsored by the National Eye Institute (NEI) and Industry brought together some of the leading scientists in ocular surface research and concluded that, "There is a paucity of data concerning the frequency of dry eye states in the population and how that frequency varies according to age, sex and race."¹

Considerable progress has been made since 1994 and multiple reports have been published that address the challenge of providing epidemiological data on dry eye, including data from the Salisbury Eye Evaluation, the Beaver Dam Eye Study, the Melbourne Visual Impairment Project, and the Women's Health Study and Physicians' Health Study, among others. It is the purpose of this report to summarize the available evidence on the epidemiology of dry eye disease and to make recommendations for future needs and research opportunities.

II. GOALS OF THE EPIDEMIOLOGY SUBCOMMITTEE

The goals of the Epidemiology Subcommittee of the 2007 Dry Eye WorkShop (DEWS) were 1) to assess and summarize current knowledge on the epidemiology of dry eye, obtaining prevalence and incidence data from various populations, 2) to describe the risk factors for dry eye, and 3) to review and evaluate dry eye questionnaires.

A. Goal 1: Assess and Summarize Current Knowledge on the Epidemiology of Dry Eye Disease

1. Dry Eye Definitions and Ascertainment

To characterize the prevalence of a disease (ie, the proportion with disease within a population at a given point in time) or its incidence (ie, the number of new cases of disease that emerge from a population of initially disease-free individuals over a defined period of time), it is necessary to agree upon a definition. Dry eye is a multifactorial disease that can result from and present in a variety of ways. In 1995, the NEI/Industry workshop broadly defined dry eye as "a disorder of the tear film due to tear deficiency

Accepted for publication January 2007.

Epidemiology DEWS Subcommittee: **Janine A. Smith, MD (Chair)**; Julie Albeitz, PhD; Carolyn Begley, OD, PhD; Barbara Caffery, OD, MS; Kelly Nichols, OD, MPH, PhD; Debra Schaumberg, ScD, OD, MPH; Oliver Schein, MD.

Proprietary interests of Subcommittee members are disclosed on pages 202 and 204.

Reprints are not available. Articles can be accessed at: www.tearfilm.org

Correspondence in regard to the DEWS Report should be addressed to: Janine A. Smith, MD, NEI, NIH, 10 Center Drive, MSC 1204, Bethesda, MD 20892. Tel: 301-496-9058. Fax: 301-496-7295. Email: smithj@nei.nih.gov

©2007 Ethis Communications, Inc. *The Ocular Surface* ISSN: 1542-0124. (No authors listed). The epidemiology of dry eye disease: report of the Epidemiology Subcommittee of the International Dry Eye WorkShop (2007). 2007;5(2):93-107.

OUTLINE

- I. Introduction
- II. Goals of the Epidemiology Subcommittee
 - A. Goal 1: Assess and summarize current knowledge on the epidemiology of dry eye disease
 - 1. Dry eye definitions and ascertainment
 - 2. Challenges in dry eye epidemiology
 - 3. Summary of dry eye epidemiology data
 - a. Prevalence of dry eye
 - 1) Combined prevalence data
 - 2) Discussion/comments
 - b. Incidence of dry eye
 - c. Natural history
 - d. Effects of magnitude of prevalence of disease in population on positive and negative predictive value
 - 4. Morbidity of dry eye
 - a. Financial costs of dry eye
 - b. Impact of dry eye on quality of life
 - c. Burden of dry eye
 - d. Quality of Life in Sjogren syndrome
 - e. Impact on visual function
 - f. Ocular morbidity associated with dry eye
 - g. Future research directions
 - B. Goal 2: Describe the risk factors for dry eye
 - 1. Bone marrow transplantation and cancer
 - 2. Menopausal hormone therapy (MHT)
 - 3. Sex hormones
 - 4. Essential fatty acids
 - 5. Low humidity environments
 - 6. Computer use
 - 7. Contact lens wear
 - 8. Refractive surgery
 - C. Goal 3: Review of Dry Eye Questionnaires
 - 1. Features of dry eye questionnaires
 - a. McMonnies Dry Eye History Questionnaire
 - b. Canadian Dry Eye Epidemiology Study (CANDEES)
 - c. Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI)
 - d. Impact of Dry Eye on Everyday Life (IDEEL)
 - e. Salisbury Eye Evaluation Questionnaire
 - f. Dry Eye Epidemiology Project Questionnaire
 - g. Women's Health Study Questionnaire
 - h. National Eye Institute-Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI-VFQ)
 - i. Dry Eye Questionnaire (DEQ) and Contact Lens DEQ
 - j. Melbourne, Australia, Visual Impairment Project Questionnaire
 - 2. Summary
 - 3. Future Research
- III. Conclusions

or excessive tear evaporation which causes damage to the interpalpebral ocular surface associated with symptoms of ocular discomfort.”¹ In this definition, the term *tear deficiency* implied a deficiency of aqueous tears secreted by the lacrimal gland. The requirement of symptoms in the definition is noteworthy, as it was not included in the definitions established in all nations; for instance, it was absent from the Japanese definition of dry eye until recently.²

2. Challenges in Dry Eye Epidemiology

No single diagnostic test can be performed in the field or in the clinic to reliably distinguish individuals with and without dry eye. Furthermore, although a variety of diagnostic tests are in common clinical usage, there is no consensus on which combination of tests should be used to define the disease, either in the clinic or for the purposes of a research protocol. A major stumbling block has been the reported lack of correlation between patients' irritative ocular symptoms and the results of selected clinical tests for dry eye. Much of this discrepancy can be explained by the lack of repeatability of many of the clinical tests in common use, with the implication that repeated measures of the same test on the same subjects at different times are not strongly correlated. Thus, it is not unexpected that such tests will fail to correlate with each other.

Another plausible reason for a lack of correlation between clinical tests and irritative symptoms may be the natural variability of the disease process, the “subjective” nature of symptoms, and variability in pain thresholds and cognitive responses to questions about the physical sensations in the eyes. Other factors could include the development of relative corneal anesthesia with aging and with worsening disease, and the possibility that symptoms are related to parameters not measured by the tests currently employed.

Dry eye is a symptomatic disease, and, at the present time, symptom questionnaires are among the most repeatable of the commonly used diagnostic tests. They may provide a more integrated view of the clinical condition over time. Irritative symptoms are largely responsible for the public health burden and for the care-seeking behavior of dry eye patients and their desire for therapy. Dry eye symptoms also affect activities of daily living, adversely impacting important tasks such as driving. With these important issues in mind, it should be noted that individual research groups in various reports have used different operational definitions of dry eye that are appropriate for their particular purpose. It is of great importance to consider these differences when interpreting and comparing such studies.

The Subcommittee examined data from a number of large cohort studies and paid particular attention to definitions employed and criteria used, including the requirement for a certain number, frequency, and intensity of symptoms. It was also noted whether a clinical examination was performed, or whether the study diagnosis was based on the history of dry eye diagnosed by a clinician. In some cases, measurements from objective tests were recorded, such as tear production, staining of the ocular

Table 1. Summary of population-based epidemiologic studies of dry eye

Study	N	Age range	Dry eye assessment	Prevalence
US Studies				
Salisbury Eye Study ³⁻⁵	2420	≥ 65 y	At least 1 of 6 symptoms (dryness, gritty/sandiness, burning, redness, crusting on lashes, eyes stuck shut in morning), occurring at least often.	14.6%
Beaver Dam ⁶	3722	≥ 48 y	“For the past 3 months or longer have you had dry eyes?” (If needed, described as foreign body sensation with itching, burning, sandy feeling, not related to allergy.)	14.4%
Women’s Health Study ⁷	36995	≥ 49 y	Severe symptoms of dryness and irritation, either constantly or often, and/or the physician’s diagnosis of dry eye as volunteered by the patient.	7.8%
Physician’s Health Studies I and II ^{8,9,14}	25655	≥ 50, 55 y	Severe symptoms of both dryness and irritation either constantly or often and/or the physician’s diagnosis of dry eye as volunteered by the patient.	
Australian Studies				
Blue Mountains ¹⁰	1075	≥ 50 y	At least 1 of 4 symptoms regardless of severity, or at least 1 symptom with a moderate to severe ranking (dryness, grittiness, itchiness, discomfort).	16.6% (at least 1 symptom) 15.3% (3 or more symptoms)
Melbourne Visual Impairment Project ¹¹	926	≥ 40 y	At least 1 of 6 “severe” symptoms, not attributed by the subject to hay fever (discomfort, foreign body, itching, tearing, dryness, photophobia).	5.5%
Asian Studies				
Shihpai ¹²	2038	≥ 65 y	At least 1 of 6 symptoms, often or all of the time (dryness, gritty/sandiness, burning, sticky, tearing, redness, discharge, eyes stuck shut in morning).	33.7%
Sumatra ¹³	1058	≥ 21 y	At least 1 of 6 symptoms, often or all of the time (dryness, gritty/sandiness, burning, redness, crusting on lashes, eyes stuck shut in morning).	27.5%

surface, and tear film breakup time. The prevalence of dry eye, using these varying definitions, was tabulated for each epidemiologic study and is listed in Table 1, along with the corresponding estimates of population prevalence.

3. Summary of Dry Eye Epidemiology Data

a. Prevalence of Dry Eye

1) Combined Prevalence Data

Based on data from the largest studies of dry eye to date, the Women’s Health Study (**WHS**), and the Physicians’ Health Study (**PHS**), and other studies,³⁻¹⁴ it has been estimated that about 3.23 million women and 1.68 million men, for a total of 4.91 million Americans 50 years and older have dry eye.^{7,14} Tens of millions more have less severe symptoms and probably a more episodic manifestation of the disease that is notable only during contact with some adverse contributing factor(s), such as low humidity or contact lens wear.

Comparison of age-specific data on the prevalence of

dry eye from large epidemiological studies reveals a range of about 5%¹¹ to over 35%¹² at various ages. However, it must be noted that different definitions of dry eye were employed in these studies, and, therefore, caution is advised in interpreting direct comparisons of these studies. Although very limited data exist on the potential effect of race or ethnicity on dry eye prevalence, data from the WHS suggest that the prevalence of severe symptoms and/or clinical diagnosis of dry eye may be greater in Hispanic and Asian, as compared to Caucasian, women. The combined data from large population-based epidemiological studies indicates that the number of women affected with dry eye appears to exceed that of men.

2) Discussion/Comments

Each of the population-based studies evaluated used a different definition of dry eye. Some studies included objective examination, but many did not. Nevertheless, in view of the poor performance (inconsistency, lack of repeatability,

etc) of commonly used clinical tests and the importance of symptoms as an indicator of both the clinical and public impact of dry eye, these data from large epidemiological studies have provided much needed information on the prevalence of dry eye.

The studies were performed in different populations across the world and, therefore, provide some valuable information regarding potential differences in dry eye according to geographic region. In particular, data from the two studies performed in Asia suggest the possibility of a higher prevalence of dry eye in those populations.^{12,13}

The weight of the evidence from large epidemiological studies indicates that female sex and older age increase the risk for dry eye; the Salisbury Eye Evaluation study is the most notable exception.³⁻⁵

An overall summary of data suggests that the prevalence of dry eye lies somewhere in the range of 5-30% in the population aged 50 years and older. It is thought that a proportion of the variation in observed prevalence between studies relates to differences in the definition of disease used; it is observed that the higher estimates are derived from studies in which a less restrictive definition was used, and the lower estimates are derived from those studies in which a more restrictive definition was used. Thus, one might surmise that the true prevalence of moderate-to-severe dry eye lies somewhere close to the lower bound of the range, whereas inclusion of mild or episodic cases would bring the estimate in closer proximity to the higher estimates observed.

Data from the largest US studies, the WHS⁷ and the PHS,^{8,9} yield estimates that 3.2 million women and 1.6 million men aged 50 years or older suffer from moderate-to-severe dry eye.

b. Incidence of Dry Eye

Epidemiologic data on dry eye can be extracted from data repositories and federal or public databases, eg, the Medicare/Medicaid databases or other data sources, such as health maintenance organizations. Ellwein and colleagues found that the dry eye case incidence per 100 fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries increased by 57.4% from 1.22 in 1991 to 1.92 in 1998.¹⁵ For comparison, cataract case incidence increased from 23.44 to 27.29 (16.4%), while that of diabetic retinopathy increased from 1.36 to 2.55 (87.5%) in the same time period. Case incidence may be particularly useful in evaluating the prevalence for chronic conditions for which yearly or more frequent visits are common.¹⁵

c. Natural History

There is a paucity of data on the natural history of untreated and treated dry eye. Data regarding the clinical course of dry eye of varying severity and rates of progression from mild to severe disease are also lacking. Such information could be obtained from clinic-based populations with use of standardized tests, and, similarly, baseline data from clinical trials and other clinical studies could be employed to obtain useful data. However, such informa-

tion is not yet available. Data from randomized controlled trials (**RCTs**) include a wealth of information, which could be garnered from the placebo or vehicle-treated groups, both at baseline and at end of study; this would provide some crude natural history data, albeit from a selected population. At the DEWS meeting in Miami, Florida, in May 2006, industry representatives to the DEWS group and attendees were invited to work collaboratively to establish procedures for sharing this valuable clinical data without compromise to proprietary information. The natural history of dry eye remains to be determined, including prognostic factors, the likelihood of disease progression, and the rates of treatment adherence and discontinuation and the long-term effect of the use of lubricants.

Epidemiologic data can also be garnered from medical claims data. This should be interpreted with the caveat that prevalence estimates based on claims provide different data than population-based studies, because claims are made for symptomatic disease for which diagnosis or treatment is sought from the medical care system. Yazdani et al reviewed the PharMetrics' Integrated Outcomes database of medical claims for 10 million patients from 22 managed care plans and reported a prevalence of dry eye of 0.39% (27,289 cases) in 1989.¹⁶ International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9 CM) codes were used to identify cases based on a diagnosis of dry eye (tear film insufficiency 375.15, keratoconjunctivitis sicca (**KCS**) 370.33, and sicca syndrome 710.2), and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT-4) procedure codes for closure of the lacrimal punctum by thermocauterization, ligation, laser surgery, or plug were used to identify surgically treated cases of dry eye. In this managed care population, dry eye was diagnosed or treated in 0.65% of women vs 0.26% of men ($P < 0.001$), and dry eye rates increased with age, reaching the highest among women 75-79 years of age and men 80-84 years of age. This is one of a few papers that report a regional variation in the prevalence of dry eye, with a high rate of 0.8% in the midwestern US, not explained by a higher proportion of women or elderly.¹⁶ There are several ICD-9-CM codes that can be applied to dry eye cases, including: 370.33 keratoconjunctivitis sicca, non-Sjogren syndrome (**SS**); 370.34 keratoconjunctivitis, exposure; 372.52 xerosis, conjunctival; 375.15 tear film insufficiency, unspecified (dry eye syndrome); and 710.20 keratoconjunctivitis sicca, SS.

d. Effects of Magnitude of Prevalence of Disease in Population on Positive and Negative Predictive Value

Community level surveys may overestimate rates of dry eye, due to higher response rates from ill, as opposed to healthy, individuals. Medical insurance or pharmacy claims collect data related to diagnoses made by a health care provider, procedures performed, and medications dispensed within a specific population, such as a managed care population. Minority and low-income populations may be differentially affected by under-reporting associated with reduced access to health care or decreased participation in research

studies. Epidemiologic studies report varying prevalence of dry eye because of all of these factors and, also, differences in study populations (community-, clinic-, managed care-based), differences in disease definition, and the lack of a standardized diagnostic test or clinical algorithm of tests.

4. Morbidity of Dry Eye

The public health significance of dry eye is raised by the high prevalence of dry eye among the older age groups in multiple population-based studies combined with the aging of the population. US Census Bureau estimates suggest that in the period between 2000 and 2050, the number of people in the US aged 65-84 years will increase by 100%, and the number of people aged 85 years and older will increase by 333% (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2004, "U.S. Interim Projections by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin," <http://www.census.gov/ipc/www/usinterimproj/> Internet Release Date: March 18, 2004). Similar trends are expected in many other parts of the world.

a. Financial Costs of Dry Eye

Few data exist on the direct and indirect costs of dry eye. The economic impact of dry eye includes costs due to health care system utilization, including office visits, surgical interventions, prescription medications, over-the-counter and complementary and alternative therapeutics, and purchase of specialized eye wear and other nonpharmacologic therapeutics, such as humidifiers. Indirect costs include lost work time and productivity, alteration in work type or environment, decreased work time and days of work with dry eye symptoms. In addition to the pain of dry eye, intangible costs include decreased leisure time, impaired physical functioning and quality of life, impact on social interactions, and mental and general health.¹⁷

b. Impact of Dry Eye on Quality of Life

The impact of dry eye on quality of life (QoL) is mediated through 1) pain and irritative symptoms, 2) effect on ocular and general health and well-being (general QoL), 3) effect on perception of visual function (vision-related QoL), and 4) impact on visual performance. For example, the irritative symptoms of dry eye can be debilitating and result in both psychological and physical effects that impact QoL.¹⁸ Dry eye also limits and degrades performance of common vision-related daily activities, such as driving.¹⁹ The need for frequent instillation of lubricant eye drops can affect social and workplace interactions. The cost of treatment and the lack of a cure for dry eye add to the impact of this important public health problem.

Various methods are available to assess the effect of dry eye on visual function and QoL. Non-disease-specific, "generic" instruments like the Medical Outcome Study Short Form-36 (SF-36) have been applied to dry eye. Utility assessment, a tool used widely in medicine that permits the comparison of the effect of different diseases on QoL based on strategies such as standard gamble, or trading years of life for disease-free years, and other techniques,

has also been applied to dry eye.²⁰ Interestingly, the utility scores for dry eye were similar to those for moderate angina.²¹ General vision-related questionnaires, such as the NEI-Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI-VFQ), have been used. Disease-specific instruments, like the Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) and the the Impact of Dry Eye on Everyday Life (IDEEL) questionnaire have also been developed and validated specifically for research on the impact of dry eye.²² These are discussed in detail and referenced in Section C.

c. Burden of Dry Eye

In a recent study among subgroups of 450 participants in the WHS and 240 participants in the PHS,^{22a} investigators used a supplementary dry eye syndrome (DES) questionnaire to ascertain how much a patient's everyday activities were limited by symptoms of dry eye and to what degree problems with their eyes limited them in a number of common activities of modern living, including reading, driving, working at the computer, professional activity, and watching TV. By design, the study group consisted of one-third with clinically diagnosed DES or severe symptoms and two-thirds without these characteristics. In pooled analyses controlled for age, diabetes, hypertension, and other factors, patients with DES were significantly more likely to report problems with reading, carrying out professional work, using a computer, watching television, driving during the day, and driving at night. Overall, patients with DES were about three times more likely to report problems with common activities than were those without DES ($P < 0.001$). These data add further weight to the consideration of DES as a significant public health problem that deserves attention in the clinic.^{22a}

Mertzanis et al described the relative burden of dry eye by comparing a measure of general health-related QoL, the SF-36 responses from persons with and without dry eye against the US norm.¹⁸ The IDEEL questionnaire was administered to dry eye patients with non-SS KCS (determined by ICD-9CM codes) or SS-related KCS (determined by San Diego diagnostic criteria) and to control subjects not meeting dry eye diagnostic codes. The *Survey Manual and Interpretation Guide* provided the US normative data. These authors found that while non-SS KCS consistently limited daily roles, caused bodily pain or discomfort, and decreased vitality or energy, this impact became clinically significant when symptoms became moderate in severity. With increased severity of symptoms, other domains were adversely affected, such as perceptions of health, physical functioning, social functioning, and role-emotional limitation. Non-SS KCS had lower role-physical (effect size [ES] = -0.07), bodily pain (ES = -0.08), and vitality (ES = -0.11) scores than norms, but higher scores for general health, physical functioning, role-emotional and mental health, and social functioning. All SF-36 domains were lower (ES ranged from -0.14 to 0.91) for the SS patients than adjusted norms except mental health (ES = 0.12) and role-emotional (ES = -0.13). Regardless of severity of dry eye, patients

reported more limitations in roles due to physical problems and bodily pain likely to affect daily activities. With increased severity, patients also reported deficits in general health perception and vitality, and the most severely affected patients reported worse health-related QoL over all scales. The IDEEL showed greater discriminative validity for severity levels of dry eye than the SF-36 or EuroQoL (EQ)-5D.²³

d. Quality of Life in Sjogren Syndrome

Sjogren syndrome is an autoimmune exocrinopathy that may be associated with immunologic abnormalities and a severe form of dry eye. Vitale et al used a disease-specific instrument, the OSDI, and a generic instrument developed for ocular disease, the NEI-VFQ, to evaluate the effect of dry eye in patients with SS on vision-targeted QoL. Despite the less heterogeneous study population of a single disease with severe dry eye, they found correlations of ocular surface parameters with vision-targeted health-related QoL to be weak or nonexistent, consistent with other studies demonstrating poor correlations between signs and symptoms of dry eye. Interestingly, the NEI-VFQ correlations with objective ocular surface parameters were higher than those of the OSDI, which may have been due to the capture of symptom intensity in addition to frequency in the generic instrument. Furthermore, the OSDI is targeted to how symptoms affect current status with a 1-week recall period, whereas the NEI-VFQ may be more suited to capturing overall impact of chronic ocular disease. It is important to include assessments of Vision-Targeted Health-Related Quality of Life (VT-HRQ) and visual function to fully characterize the impact of dry eye on health status. The poor correlations with conventionally measured signs indicate that an additional component of disease not captured by clinical examination is being captured.²⁴

Sjogren syndrome can affect many organ systems, and afflicted patients have a reduced quality of life. Several studies have measured various aspects of this reduced QoL. Fatigue, anxiety, and depression are major aspects of SS. Thomas et al²⁵ studied the impact of SS in terms of disability and QoL in a community-based sample. The majority of women with SS reported interference in leisure activities and lifestyle.²⁶ Higher levels of depression/anxiety and fatigue were evident in SS patients compared with non-SS patients. SS patients had significantly lower scores on the SF-36, indicating a greater impact on health status. The SF-36 has been used by Sutcliffe et al,²⁷ Strombeck et al,²⁸ and others²⁹ to show that disabling fatigue is an important symptom for many of these patients.

Godaert et al used the multi-dimensional fatigue inventory (MFI) to confirm that SS patients had substantially higher levels of daily fatigue and that their fatigue increased in the evening.³⁰ Giles and Isenberg also noted increased fatigue in SS patients, even compared to a population of lupus patients.³¹ Depression is also a prominent feature of SS. Stevenson et al used the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) to evaluate 40 SS patients and 40 controls. SS patients showed significantly higher scores.³²

Valtysdottir et al also observed more psychiatric symptoms and worse well-being in patients with primary SS.³³

e. Impact on Visual Function

Knowledge is increasing about how dry eye limits and degrades visual performance, including the conduct of common vision-related daily activities. New methods of measuring functional visual acuity have demonstrated the effect of dry eye on visual performance. Distinct from high-contrast visual acuity, measured in a standardized way at a practitioner's office, visual function is a measure of one's ability to perform vision-intensive tasks, such as reading, using a computer, professional work, driving at night, or watching television. Visual complaints are highly prevalent among dry eye patients.^{22,34,35} These are usually described as disturbed vision or blurry, foggy vision that clears temporarily with the blink.³⁴ These transient changes can be profound, resulting in marked drops in contrast sensitivity and visual acuity,³⁶ thus affecting workplace productivity and vision-related QoL.^{19,37}

Corneal surface irregularity due to epithelial desiccation, tear film instability, and evaporation can be visualized and quantified with use of tools ranging from corneal topography (surface regularity index) to complex instruments like wavefront analysis that quantify optical aberrations that can degrade the quality of vision and affect non-acuity visual function. An uneven, disrupted tear film in the central cornea can result in transient vision changes in the dry eye patient.^{37,38} Optical aberrations created by tear film breakup between blinks contribute to a decline in retinal image quality that can be measured by both objective and subjective methods. The Shack-Hartmann aberrometer measures real-time changes in whole eye, higher order aberrations that can be attributed to the tear film,^{38,39} whereas aberrations modeled by changes in corneal topography are based on the front surface of the eye only.⁴⁰ Subjective methods can also be used to track changes in contrast sensitivity and visual acuity due to tear film disruption.⁴¹ Both topical application of artificial tears and punctal occlusion in dry eye patients have been demonstrated to improve visual acuity, contrast sensitivity, and corneal epithelial regularity.^{36,42,43}

f. Ocular Morbidity Associated With Dry Eye Disease

Dry eye is associated with contact lens intolerance and discontinuation of contact lens wear,^{44,45} can adversely affect refractive surgery outcomes,^{46,47} and may be associated with increased risk of infection and complications with ocular surgery. Few data exist on the risk of infection due to dry eye. Cataract surgery in patients with dry eye can be associated with ocular morbidity, especially in patients with connective tissue disorders.⁴⁸ The large incision required for extracapsular cataract extraction was associated with decreased corneal sensation, which can impair wound healing, interrupt normal trophic factors, and render the cornea more vulnerable to epithelial breakdown in predisposed cases.⁴⁹ In contrast, small incision cataract surgery with phacoemulsification

Table 2. Risk factors for dry eye

Mostly consistent*	Level of Evidence	
	Suggestive†	Unclear‡
Older age	Asian race	Cigarette smoking
Female sex	Medications	Hispanic ethnicity
Postmenopausal estrogen therapy	Tricyclic antidepressants	
Omega-3 and Omega-6 fatty acids	Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors	Anti-cholinergics
Medications	Diuretics	Anxiolytics
Antihistamines	Beta-blockers	Antipsychotics
Connective tissue disease	Diabetes mellitus	Alcohol
LASIK and refractive excimer laser surgery	HIV/HTLV1 infection	Menopause
Radiation therapy	Systemic chemotherapy	Botulinum toxin injection
Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation	Large incision ECCE and penetrating keratoplasty	
	Isotretinoin	Acne
Vitamin A deficiency	Low humidity environments	Gout
Hepatitis C infection	Sarcoidosis	Oral contraceptives
Androgen deficiency	Ovarian dysfunction	Pregnancy

* Mostly consistent evidence implies the existence of at least one adequately powered and otherwise well-conducted study published in a peer-reviewed journal, along with the existence of a plausible biological rationale and corroborating basic research or clinical data.

† Suggestive evidence implies the existence of either: 1) inconclusive information from peer-reviewed publications or 2) inconclusive or limited information to support the association, but either not published or published somewhere other than in a peer-reviewed journal

‡ Unclear evidence implies either directly conflicting information in peer-reviewed publications, or inconclusive information but with some basis for a biological rationale

in patients with dry eye has not been associated with a higher risk of complications in dry eye patients; Ram et al reported postoperative punctate epitheliopathy in 8/25 eyes, epithelial defect in 8/25 eyes of 23 patients, and no cases of infection or keratolysis.⁵⁰

g. Future Research Directions

A number of questions should be addressed in future research on the epidemiology of dry eye.

What is the natural history of dry eye syndrome? Is the tissue damage to the ocular surface progressive? Do irritative symptoms progress, or might they wane over time with the development of relative corneal anesthesia?

Can we quantify the risk of ocular surface infection among patients with dry eye? Is the amount of corneal staining correlated with visual function/functional visual acuity?

What is the incidence of dry eye syndrome in the population, and are there any identifiable demographic correlates (eg, age, sex, race/ethnicity)?

Suggested risk factors for dry eye need to be verified and quantified (diabetes mellitus, HIV/HTLV1, medications, menopause, alcohol, smoking, pollution, low humidity, various medical conditions, refractive surgery, androgen deficiency, and others). It needs to be determined whether predisposing genetic factors contribute to dry eye.

The effects of dry eye should be further defined in terms of QoL, impact on vision, impact on driving, psychological issues, cost of care, impact on the health care system, and overall economic impact.

New diagnostic tests and disease biomarkers should be developed to facilitate epidemiological and clinical research.

B. Goal 2. Describe the Risk Factors for Dry Eye Disease

In 1995, the NEI/Industry Workshop found “virtually no data in reference to risk factors for the development of dry eye.”¹ Since that time, epidemiological studies have only begun to address the evidence for potential lifestyle, dietary, behavioral, and other risk factors for dry eye, and further study is clearly needed. The Epidemiology Subcommittee noted that risk factors might differ among certain subtypes of dry eye, which could dilute associations in population-based studies, in which all forms of dry eye are considered together. Findings from studies in which a purely statistical, non-hypothesis-driven approach was used to study risk factors must be viewed cautiously, as spurious results are likely, and, at the same time, important associations could have easily been overlooked.

The Subcommittee recommends that future studies of risk factors for dry eye should concentrate on the examination of biologically compelling hypotheses in a detailed fashion, with appropriate attention to all aspects of good epidemiological study design (including sufficient study power), analysis, and data presentation.

Substantiated risk factors for dry eye include female sex, older age, postmenopausal estrogen therapy,⁵¹ a diet that is low in omega 3 essential fatty acids or has a high ratio of omega 6 to omega 3 fatty acids,⁵² refractive surgery,⁵³ vitamin A deficiency, radiation therapy, bone marrow transplanta-

tion, hepatitis C,⁵⁴ and certain classes of systemic and ocular medications, including anti-histamines (Table 2). Vitamin A deficiency is a well-recognized risk factor for dry eye,⁵⁵ and the etiology of the nutritional deficiency now extends from inadequate intake due to unavailability of food to alcoholism-related nutritional deficiency, bariatric surgery,⁵⁶ malabsorption, eating disorders,⁵⁷ and vegan diet.⁵⁸

Other risk factors may include diabetes mellitus,⁵⁹ human immunodeficiency virus, HIV⁶⁰ and human T cell lymphotropic virus-1 infection,⁶¹ connective tissue diseases, systemic cancer chemotherapy, and other medications, such as isotretinoin,⁶² antidepressants, anxiolytics, beta-blockers, and diuretics. However, systematic, comprehensive study of many of these factors is lacking. Conflicting results have been reported on the associations between dry eye and some factors, including alcohol, cigarette smoking, caffeine, acne,⁶³ and menopausal status. Very few reports exist on the risk of dry eye with use of oral contraceptives and pregnancy and the role of ethnicity in dry eye.⁶⁴

1. Bone Marrow Transplantation and Cancer

Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation has increased in frequency, the indications for the procedure have expanded, and the survival rate is higher than ever before. Conditioning regimens and the use and amount of radiation therapy have also changed, which has altered the clinical spectrum of ocular graft vs host disease. Dry eye due to radiation therapy,⁶⁵ systemic chemotherapy, or ocular graft vs host disease as a complication of bone marrow transplantation can be seen in cancer survivors.^{66,67} A significant pediatric population has undergone bone marrow transplantation and is surviving to develop chronic graft vs host disease and dry eye.⁶⁸

2. Menopausal Hormone Therapy (MHT)

In a study of over 25,000 women, postmenopausal estrogen therapy was found to be associated with an increased prevalence of dry eye; the prevalence of dry eye was 5.93% in women not receiving therapy, 6.67% in those receiving estrogen combined with progesterone, and 9.05% in those taking estrogen alone.⁵¹ In post-menopausal women, for each additional 3 years of MHT, the odds ratio (OR) for risk of dry eye was 1.16 (1.09-1.24) after adjusting for age and other possible confounding factors. A prospective analysis of data from this study showed that the initiation of estrogen therapy preceded the diagnosis of dry eye syndrome. Corroborating evidence was subsequently found in the Shihpai study,¹² in which menopausal hormone therapy was associated with an increased risk of dry eye, OR=1.28, and in the Blue Mountains Eye Study, OR=1.7.¹⁰

3. Sex Hormones

The role of sex hormones in ocular surface homeostasis has been recognized and the pathologic mechanism(s) by which disturbances may result in dry eye are being investigated. Androgen levels decrease with aging in both men and women.⁶⁹ Sex steroid deficiency, specifically involving androgens, has been associated with dry eye in several

distinct clinical entities, such as congenital androgen insufficiency syndrome,^{70,71} SS,⁷² premature ovarian failure,⁷³ and anti-androgen medication treatment.⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶ The complex role of sex hormones in ocular surface health and disease warrants further study. There are conflicting reports of small studies of the risk of dry eye with oral contraceptive use, and minimal data are available regarding the effect of pregnancy, hysterectomy, oophorectomy and ovarian dysfunction on the ocular surface.⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹

4. Essential Fatty Acids

A role for essential fatty acids in dry eye is supported by largely consistent evidence. In a study of over 32,000 women, Miljanovic et al demonstrated about a 30% reduction in risk for dry eye with each additional gram of omega-3 fatty acids consumed per day.⁵² Those who consumed 5 or more 4-ounce servings of tuna per week had a > 60% reduction in risk of dry eye. A higher ratio of omega-6 to omega-3 fatty acid consumption in the diet was associated with a significantly increased risk of DES (OR: 2.51; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.13, 5.58) for > 15:1 versus < 4:1 (P for trend = 0.01). Thus, the higher the level of intake of omega-3 fatty acids in relation to the most commonly consumed types of omega-6 fatty acids, the lower the risk of dry eye. In support of a role for essential fatty acids, another study showed that women with SS had a significantly lower intake of omega-3 fatty acids (with or without adjustment for energy intake), as compared to age-matched controls.⁸⁰ Furthermore, intake of omega-3 fatty acids has been correlated with the polar lipid pattern of meibomian gland secretions in women with SS.⁸¹

5. Low Humidity Environments

Ocular irritative complaints, such as burning, dryness, stinging, and grittiness, are often reported in epidemiologic studies of indoor environment, especially in offices where highly demanding visual and cognitive tasks are performed.⁸² While the exact cause of these symptoms remains unclear, ocular dryness due to increased tear evaporation may be due to low humidity, high room temperature and air velocity, decreased blink rate, or indoor pollution or poor air quality.^{83,84} Other ultra-low humidity environments, such as aircraft cabins, have also been associated with dry eye symptoms.^{85,86}

6. Computer Use

Computer users often complain of eye strain, eye fatigue, burning, irritation, redness, blurred vision, and dry eyes, among other repetitive strain symptoms.⁸⁷ This constellation of ocular complaints resulting from video display terminal operation and sustained visual attention to a computer monitor, with an associated decreased blink rate, can be regarded as a repetitive strain disorder, *computer vision syndrome (CVS)*. While asthenopia, glare, and accommodative difficulty are all aspects of CVS, dry eye appears to contribute to a major component of symptoms reported.⁸⁸

7. Contact Lens Wear

Contact lens (CL) wear has often been reported to

be associated with dry eye,⁸⁹ and a significant number of CL-wearing patients experience dryness. Symptoms of dry eye are common in CL wearers, with 50-75% of wearers reporting symptoms of ocular irritation.^{44,90-93} If a conservative estimate is used (50%), approximately 17 million Americans have CL-related dry eye. A comprehensive study of 415 CL wearers revealed that several factors are associated with dry eye status in multivariate regression analyses, including female gender ($P = .007$), lenses with higher nominal water content ($P = .002$), rapid prelens tear film thinning time ($P = .008$), frequent usage of over-the-counter pain medication ($P = .02$), limbal injection ($P = .03$), and increased tear film osmolality ($P = .05$).⁴⁵

Symptoms of dryness and discomfort are often reported as factors contributing to contact lens discontinuation. In a study by Prichard and coworkers, 12% of contact lens patients discontinued lens wear within 5 years of the initial fitting due to these symptoms.⁹⁴ Similar findings have been reported in other studies. In one study performed at a university-based ophthalmic clinic, 109 (24%) of 453 subjects with a history of contact lens wear discontinued lens wear permanently and 119 current contact lens wearers expressed contact lens dissatisfaction; both groups ranked dryness as the most common ocular symptom.⁹⁵

8. Refractive Surgery

Dry eye is recognized to occur following refractive surgery, and our understanding of its etiology and clinical significance is evolving. Decreased corneal sensation has been proposed as the basis of reduction in blinking⁹⁶ and lacrimal secretion⁹⁶ after laser assisted in situ keratomileusis (**LASIK**) surgery, both of which may contribute to an aqueous-deficient state. Alternatively, it has been proposed that this symptomatic condition is due to the disruption of trophic sensory support to the denervated region. This condition has been termed *LASIK-Induced NeuroEpitheliopathy (LINE)*.⁹⁷ An analogous condition of milder degree may occur following photorefractive keratoplasty (**PRK**). Limited epidemiologic data are available on refractive surgery-induced dry eye, and the magnitude, severity, and duration of the disease require further controlled prospective study. Reports of the prevalence of dry eye in LASIK patients without a prior history of dry eye vary according to the definition of dry eye, but range from 0.25%⁹⁸ up to 48%.⁵³

The rate of dry eye appears to be highest in the period immediately following surgery; some, but not all, authors report a return of the Schirmer 1 to baseline level by 1 year postoperatively.^{53,96,99} De Paiva and co-authors, using a definition of corneal staining of 3 or more in a small study of 35 patients, found an incidence of dry eye of 33.36% at 6 months after LASIK, and the risk of dry eye was significantly associated with extent of preoperative myopia (0.88/D, $p = 0.04$) and ablation depth (RR 1.01/micrometer, $p = .01$).¹⁰⁰ Interestingly, surface ablation appears to be associated with a decreased risk of post-LASIK dry eye.¹⁰¹ Dry eye may compromise wound healing and has been associated with an increased risk of refractive regression. Some authors have

reported a greater risk of dry eye and refractive regression in women than in men and a higher prevalence in Asian (28%) than in Caucasian (5%) persons.^{46,47} Dry eye before LASIK and long-term CL wear before LASIK may be associated an increased prevalence of dry eye after LASIK.¹⁰²

Further research is needed to identify the risk factors for dry eye after refractive surgery, to examine the effect of pre-existing conditions (CL wear, tear instability, and ocular surface disease), and to distinguish true LASIK dry eye from LINE.⁹⁷ There is also a need to identify the value of pretreatment strategies to reduce the incidence and duration of LASIK-induced ocular surface disease.

More information is needed regarding other risk factors, such as directly comparative data to assess possible racial and/or ethnic differences, other possible nutritional and environmental risk factors, the role of sex hormones, and the possible contribution of an underlying genetic predisposition to dry eye.

C. Goal 3. Review of Dry Eye Questionnaires

Questionnaires are employed in clinical research to screen individuals for the diagnosis of dry eye or in clinical practice to assess the effects of treatments or to grade disease severity. In epidemiologic research, questionnaires can be used for population-based studies or to study the natural history of disease. The purpose of a questionnaire affects the content and nature of the instrument.

At the Puerto Rico DEWS meeting in 2004, the Epidemiology Subcommittee evaluated published dry eye symptom questionnaires. Each member of the committee received electronic files of the publications prior to the meeting. The questionnaires and publications were reviewed before the meeting, and the instruments were presented and reviewed at the Puerto Rico meeting (Table 3). The terms "dry eye" AND "questionnaire" were searched in PubMed and limits of "English language" and "human" were applied.

The following general criteria for questionnaire selection were employed for review.

- 1) The questionnaire has been used in randomized clinical trials (**RCTs**).
- 2) The questionnaire has been tested or used in epidemiologic studies.
- 3) The questionnaire has had some psychometric testing.
- 4) The questionnaire is available and appropriate for generic, non-disease-specific dry eye populations.
- 5) The questionnaire must have met 1 OR 2, and 3 and 4.

Fourteen questionnaires were identified that met these criteria:

- 1) McMonnies Dry Eye History Questionnaire (Nichols, McMonnies)^{103,104}
- 2) Canada Dry Eye Epidemiology Study (CANDEES [Doughty])⁹¹
- 3) Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI [Schiffman])¹⁰⁵
- 4) Salisbury Eye Evaluation (Schein, Bandeen-Roche)^{106,107}
- 5) Dry Eye Epidemiology Projects (DEEP) questionnaire (Oden)¹⁰⁸
- 6) Women's Health Study questionnaire (Schaumberg)⁷

Table 3. Symptoms and quality of life instruments

Instrument Title/Description/Reference	Authors/Report	Questionnaire Summary	Description/Use
McMonnies Key questions in a dry eye history (McMonnies) ¹⁰³	McMonnies. <i>J Am Optometric Assoc</i> 1986; 57(7):512-7	15 questions	Screening questionnaire—used in a clinic population
McMonnies Reliability and validity of McMonnies Dry Eye Index. (Nichols et al) ¹⁰⁴	Nichols, Nichols, Mitchell. <i>Cornea</i> 2004;23(4):365-71	Previously described	Screening questionnaire Dry eye clinic population
*CANDEES A patient questionnaire approach to estimating the prevalence of dry eye symptoms in patients presenting to optometric practices across Canada (CANDEES) ⁹¹	Doughty, Fonn, Richter, et al. <i>Optom Vis Sci</i> 1997;74(8):624-31	13 questions	Epidemiology of dry eye symptoms in a large random sample
OSDI The Ocular Surface Disease Index ¹⁰⁵	Schiffman, Christianson, Jacobsen, et al. <i>Arch Ophthalmol</i> 2000;118:615-21	12-item questionnaire	Measures the severity of dry eye disease; end points in clinical trials, symptoms, functional problems and environmental triggers queried for the past week
OSDI and NEI-VFQ comparison ²⁴	Vitale, Goodman, Reed, Smith. <i>Health Quality Life Outcomes</i> 2004,2:44	Comparison of existing questionnaires	Tested in Sjogren Syndrome population
IDEEL Comparing the discriminative validity of two generic and one disease-specific health-related quality of life measures in a sample of patients with dry eye ²³	Rajagopalan, Abetz, Mertzanis, et al. <i>Value Health</i> 2005 Mar-Apr;8(2):168-74	3 modules (57 questions): 1. Daily Activities 2. Treatment Satisfaction 3. Symptom Bother	Epidemiologic and clinical studies
Salisbury Eye Evaluation Relation between signs and symptoms of dry eye in the elderly ¹⁰⁶	Schein, Tielsch, Munoz B, et al. <i>Ophthalmology</i> 1997;104:1395-1401	Standardized 6-question questionnaire*	Population-based prevalence survey for clinical and subjective evidence of dry eye
Salisbury Eye Evaluation Self-reported assessment of dry eye in a population-based setting ¹⁰⁷	Bandeem-Roche, Munoz, Tielsch, et al. <i>Ophthalmol Vis Sci</i> 1997;38(12):2469-75	Standardized 6-question questionnaire*	Population-based prevalence survey for clinical and subjective evidence of dry eye
Dry Eye Epidemiology Projects (DEEP) Sensitivity and specificity of a screening questionnaire for dry eye ¹⁰⁸	Oden, Lilienfeld, Lemp, et al. <i>Adv Exp Med Biol</i> 1998;438: 807-20	19 questions	Screening
Women's Health Study questionnaire Prevalence of dry eye syndrome among US women ⁷	Schaumberg, Sullivan, Buring, Sullivan. <i>Am J Ophthalmol</i> 2003 Aug;136(2):318-26	3 items from 14-item original questionnaire	Women's Health Study/ Epidemiologic studies
National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI-VFQ) ¹⁰⁹	Mangione, Lee, Pitts, et al. <i>Arch Ophthalmol</i> 1998;116:1496-1504	25-item questionnaire: 2 ocular pain subscale questions	Useful tool for group-level comparisons of vision-targeted, health-related QOL in clinical research; not influenced by severity of underlying eye disease, suggesting use for multiple eye conditions.
Dry Eye Questionnaire (DEQ) Habitual patient-reported symptoms and clinical signs among patients with dry eye of varying severity ³⁴	Begley, Chalmers, Abetz, et al. <i>Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci</i> 2003 Nov;44(11):4753-61	21 items on prevalence, frequency, diurnal severity and intrusiveness of sx	Epidemiologic and clinical studies
Dry Eye Questionnaire (DEQ) Use of the dry eye questionnaire to measure symptoms of ocular irritation in patients with aqueous tear deficient dry eye ¹¹⁰	Begley, Caffery, Chalmers, et al. <i>Cornea</i> 2002;21(7):664-70	As above	As above

Table 3 continues on following page

Table 3. Symptoms and quality of life instruments (*continued*)

Instrument Title/Description/Reference	Authors/Report	Questionnaire Summary	Description/Use
Contact Lens DEQ Responses of contact lens wearers to a dry eye survey ⁹³	Begley, Caffery, Nichols, Chalmers. <i>Optom Vis Sci</i> 2000; 77(1): 40-6	13 questions	Screening questionnaire for dry eye symptoms in contact lens wearers
Melbourne Visual Impairment Project The epidemiology of dry in Melbourne, Australia ¹¹	McCarty, Bansal, Livingston, et al. <i>Ophthalmology</i> 1998;105:1114-9	Self-reported symptoms elicited by interviewer-administered questionnaire	Epidemiologic studies
National Eye Institute 42-Item Refractive Error Questionnaire ¹¹¹	Hays, Mangione, Ellwein, et al. <i>Ophthalmology</i> 2003;110(12):2292-301	42-item questionnaire: 4 related questions: ocular pain or discomfort, dryness, tearing, soreness or tiredness	QoL due to refractive error
Sicca/SS questionnaire Validation of the Sicca symptoms inventory for clinical studies of Sjogren's syndrome ¹¹²	Bowman, Booth, Platts, et al. Sjogren's Interest Group. <i>J Rheumatol</i> 2003;30(6):1259-66	Inventory of both symptoms and signs of Sjogren Syndrome	Epidemiologic studies for Sjogren Syndrome
Bjerrum questionnaire Study Design and Study Populations ¹¹³	Bjerrum. <i>Acta Ophthalmologica</i> (Scand) 2000:10-3	3-part questionnaire which includes an ocular part with 14 questions	QOL due to SS dry eye, diagnosis of dry eye, epidemiology of SS
Bjerrum questionnaire Dry Eye Symptoms in patients and normals ¹¹⁴	Bjerrum. <i>Acta Ophthalmologica</i> (Scand) 2000, 14-5.	As above	Screening questionnaire
Bjerrum questionnaire Test and symptoms in keratoconjunctivitis sicca and their correlation ³⁵	Bjerrum. <i>Acta Ophthalmol</i> (Scand) 1996:74:436-41	Dry eye tests Ocular symptom questionnaire (14 questions)	Examine correlation between dry eye test and ocular symptom questionnaire responses
Utility assessment questionnaire Utility assessment among pts with dry eye disease ²¹	Schiffman, Walt, Jacobsen, et al. <i>Ophthalmology</i> 2003;110(7):1412-9	Utility assessment	Utility assessment
Japanese dry eye awareness study Results of a population-based questionnaire on the symptoms and lifestyles associated with dry eye ¹¹⁵	Shimmura, Shimazaki, Tsubota. <i>Cornea</i> 1999; 18(4):408-11	30 questions relating to symptoms and knowledge of dry eye	Population-based, self-diagnosis study to assess public awareness and symptoms of dry eye
Sicca/SLE questionnaire Oral and ocular sicca symptoms and findings are prevalent in systemic lupus erythematosus ¹¹⁶	Jensen, Bergem, Gilboe, et al. <i>Oral Pathol Med</i> 1999;28:317-22	6-question symptom questionnaire	Screening for dry eye symptoms in SLE patients
American-European Consensus Group Classification criteria for Sjogren's syndrome: a revised version of the European criteria proposed by the American-European Consensus Group ¹¹⁷	Vitali C, Bombardieri S, Jonnson R, et al. <i>Ann Rheum Dis</i> 2002;1:554-8	6 areas of questions: Ocular symptoms; oral symptoms; ocular signs; histopathology; oral signs; auto-antibodies	Clarification of classification of primary and secondary Sjogren syndrome, and of exclusion criteria.
The Eye Care Technology Forum Impacting Eye Care ¹¹⁸	Ellwein. <i>Ophthalmology</i> 1994;101:199-201	Issues: Standardizing clinical evaluation	Decree for change

- 7) National Eye Institute-Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI-VFQ [Mangione])¹⁰⁹
- 8) Dry Eye Questionnaire (DEQ [Begley et al])^{34,110}
- 9) Contact Lens DEQ (Begley et al),⁹³
- 10) Melbourne Visual Impairment Project (McCarty)¹¹
- 11) NEI-Refractive Error questionnaire¹¹¹
- 12) Sicca Symptoms Inventory (Bowman)¹¹²
- 13) Bjerrum questionnaire^{35,113,114}
- 14) Japanese dry eye awareness questionnaire (Shimmura)¹¹⁵

The Impact of Dry Eye on Everyday Life (IDEEL) was added to the list when it became publicly available.

A number of questionnaires were selected for detailed

review, and these are summarized below. Appendix I, available at www.tearfilm.org, provides additional details of the McCarty symptom questionnaire, Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI), Salisbury Eye Evaluation questionnaire, Impact of Dry Eye on Everyday Life (IDEEL) questionnaire, and the McMonnies questionnaire.

During the meeting, the strengths and weaknesses of existing surveys were discussed, and it was noted that information is limited for each of them. The group agreed that a set of several standardized, validated questionnaires suitable for a variety of purposes and available to investigators would be desirable. Data from completed clinical trials could be used to validate existing instruments and

maximize the ability to improve instruments for use in clinical trials and epidemiologic studies.

1. Features of Dry Eye Questionnaires

The instruments varied in length, intended use, population in which they were tested, mode of administration (self, interviewer, and phone) and extent of validation. Common elements in questionnaires (two or more instruments) included query of: clinician-based or other diagnosis of dry eye; frequency and/or intensity of symptoms; effect of symptoms on activities of daily living; effect of environmental triggers on symptoms; presence of dry mouth; effect of visual tasks on symptoms (eg, computer use); effect of treatment on symptoms; contact lens wear; medications; and allergies. Items infrequently included were queries related to the use of drops, arthritis, thyroid disease, dry nose or vagina, emotional triggers, and global assessment by the patient. The recall period was not specified in most questionnaires, but it ranged from 1-2 weeks in those in which a period was specified. Below is a summary of the general features of ten questionnaires:

- a. **McMonnies Dry Eye History Questionnaire**
 - 12 items- most dichotomous yes/no, weighted scoring
 - Screening, used in dry eye clinic population
 - Includes age, sex, contact lens wear
 - Previous diagnosis of dry eye, triggers (environment, swimming, alcohol)
 - Frequency of symptoms: dryness, grittiness, soreness, redness, tiredness (Answers: *Never, sometimes, often, constantly*)
 - Medications, arthritis, dry mouth, thyroid status
- b. **Canadian Dry Eye Epidemiology Study (CANDEES)**
 - 13 questions: age, sex, CL wear and effect on symptoms, dry eye diagnosis
 - Epidemiologic study of prevalence of symptoms
 - Frequency and intensity of symptoms combined (Answers: *Occasional and mild, Occasional and moderate, Constant and mild, Constant and moderate, Severe*)
 - Medications, time of day, allergies, dry mouth, itchy/swollen/red eyelids
- c. **Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI)**
 - 12 items: visual function (6); ocular symptoms (3); environmental triggers (3)
 - Frequency with 1-week recall period (Answers: *None of the time, Some of the time, Half of the time, Most of the time, All of the time* [0-4])
 - Scoring algorithm published: 100 = complete disability; 0 = no disability
 - Validated in dry eye population and used as outcome measure in RCT
- d. **Impact of Dry Eye on Everyday Life (IDEEL)**
 - 3 modules (Daily activities, Treatment satisfaction, and Symptom bother) with a total of 57 questions
- e. **Salisbury Eye Evaluation Questionnaire**
 - 2-week recall period
 - 5-point scales on frequency, bother, or limitation for most questions
 - Daily Activities includes vision, environmental triggers, emotional triggers, and work
 - Validated in dry eye population of 210 subjects with range of dry eye severity
 - Questionnaire is now available from MAPI Values, Boston, MA
- f. **Dry Eye Epidemiology Project Questionnaire**
 - 6 items: Frequency of symptoms and 3 signs (Answers: *Rarely, Sometimes, Often, All of the time*)
 - Do your eyes ever feel dry?
 - Gritty or sandy sensation in eyes?
 - Burning sensation?
 - Red, crusting lashes, stuck shut in morning
 - Self-reported population-based prevalence survey in elderly for signs and symptoms
 - Latent class analysis of symptom patterns
 - Low correlations with dry eye signs
- g. **Women's Health Study Questionnaire**
 - 3 items (Answers: *Constantly, Often, Sometimes, Never*)
 - Previous diagnosis of dry eye from clinician—yes or no
 - How often eyes feel dry (not wet enough)?
 - How often eyes feel irritated?
 - Large population-based prevalence survey
 - Case definition: Both dryness and irritation constantly or often
 - Similar sensitivity and specificity as 14 items including: sandy or gritty, burning or stinging pain, itching, light sensitivity, blurry vision, tiredness, soreness, scratchiness, redness, stickiness, achy feeling watery eyes and swollen eyelids
 - Validated against standardized clinical exam
- h. **National Eye Institute-Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI-VFQ)**
 - 25 items of frequency and severity of symptom and effects on activities of daily living
 - Multiple domains: ie, near vision, general health, social problems, distance vision...
 - How often does pain or discomfort affect activities of daily living (Answers: *All, Most, Some, A little, None of the time* [5-point scale])
 - How much pain (ie, burn, itch, ache)? (Answers:

- None, Mild, Moderate, Severe, Very severe* [5-point scale])
- Not developed for dry eye; however, tested in several dry eye populations
 - Useful for group level comparisons of vision-targeted health related QoL
 - Can be useful for multiple eye conditions

i. Dry Eye Questionnaire (DEQ) and Contact Lens DEQ

- 21 items: includes contact lens wear, age, sex
- Categorical scales of prevalence, frequency, diurnal severity and intrusiveness of symptoms in typical day of one week recall period
- Frequency and intensity of symptoms: comfort, dryness, blurry vision, soreness and irritation, grittiness and scratchiness, burning and stinging, foreign body sensation, light sensitivity, itching
Never, infrequent, frequent, constantly
Time of day worsening
Effect on activities of daily living
- Medications, allergies, dry mouth, nose or vagina, treatments, patient global assessment, dry eye diagnosis

j. Melbourne, Australia, Visual Impairment Project Questionnaire

Symptoms of discomfort, dryness, foreign body sensation, itching, tearing and photophobia were graded on a scale from 0 to 3 (0 = no history, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe). For each symptom, a definition was supplied for mild, moderate and severe.

2. Summary

The Subcommittee agreed on several characteristics of a dry eye questionnaire that contribute to its suitability for use in epidemiologic studies and RCTs. The instrument must be responsive, ie, able to detect and measure a change in symptoms with effective treatment or disease progression. It should be sufficiently sensitive to detect therapeutic response by a drug. It must be reproducible; the changes detected must be real and not due to poor repeatability. The recall period should be specified, as symptoms over time are commonly integrated by patients. For example, “how do your eyes feel now?” vs “on average, over the past week, how have your eyes felt?” Other important points included the ability to set a threshold of severity of disease as an inclusion criterion (ceiling and floor effects). One may elect to use a particular instrument as a screening tool for the study qualification visit and a different questionnaire to perform at baseline and the primary outcome study visit. Specific items within the instrument may be more appropriate for screening, whereas others may be responsive to treatment effects and more relevant for efficacy analysis. Because of the possibility of worsening of dry eye symptoms over the course of the day, dry eye examinations and the questionnaire should be administered at the same time of day in clinical trials.

Vision-targeted health-related quality of life instruments quantify an aspect of dry eye disease that is not measured in other ways. Both generic and disease-specific instru-

ments are available; utility assessment is an alternative strategy. The group recommended inclusion of an item on visual function in the definition of dry eye—for example, fluctuating vision or transient blurred vision—to capture visual effect from dryness and assist in defining a clinically meaningful situation. This is another manifestation of dry eye distinct from “irritative” symptoms.

3. Future Research

Clinically meaningful changes in questionnaire scores need to be defined. If a particular symptom is improved, does the ability to perform common activities of daily living or visual function improve as well?

The concept of the “worst” symptom, which might be defined as the most intense, the most frequent, or the most bothersome symptom, warrants further study.

The relationship between frequency and severity of dry eye symptoms must be better understood to identify a clinically meaningful change in dry eye symptoms. How does a constant but low-intensity irritative symptom compare to a periodic, severe, highly intense but infrequent pain? Although frequency and intensity of symptoms are highly correlated, frequency is relevant to RCTs, because it would be difficult to demonstrate a change in an infrequent but severe symptom.

Psychometric analysis of existing questionnaire data from interventional clinical trials or epidemiologic studies may be useful in identifying specific parameters, questions, or subscales that might be more responsive or more appropriate to demonstrate therapeutic effects from different types of treatment modalities or for dry eye of a particular type or severity. Patient satisfaction with ocular health, therapy, and impression of improvement or worsening with treatment could be explored for use in clinical research

Although important progress has been made since the 1994/1995 Dry Eye Workshop about the available evidence on the epidemiology of dry eye, there is still a need for widely accepted diagnostic criteria of dry eye for epidemiological studies and a need to conduct such studies in different geographical populations and in different races and ethnicities. We still need to clarify the role of individual dry eye questionnaires and vision-targeted and general QoL assessment tools. While certain risk factors, such as age, sex, dietary factors, refractive surgery, and others, have been related to ocular morbidity in dry eyes, the impact of other factors such as cigarette smoking, alcohol, menopause, oral contraceptives, and pregnancy, still remain unclear and will need further prospective research.

III. CONCLUSIONS

There remains a need to build consensus on appropriate dry eye diagnostic criteria for epidemiologic studies. The role of subjective assessment and vision-targeted and general QoL assessments can be clarified. More incidence studies are needed, and epidemiologic studies should be expanded to include additional geographic regions and multiple races and ethnicities. Some modifiable risk fac-

tors have been identified for dry eye, and public education resulting this regard should lead to improvement in both eye and general health, while further, prospective study is needed to elucidate other risk factors.

Detailed templates of questionnaires can be accessed at: www.tearfilm.org.

REFERENCES

- Lemp MA. Report of the National Eye Institute/Industry workshop on Clinical Trials in Dry Eyes. *CLAO J* 1995;21:221-32
- Miyawaki S, Nishiyama S. [Classification criteria for Sjogren's syndrome-sensitivity and specificity of criteria of the Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare (1977) and criteria of European community (1993)]. *Nippon Rinsho* 1995;53:2371-5
- Schein OD, Hochberg MC, Munoz B, et al. Dry eye and dry mouth in the elderly: a population-based assessment. *Arch Intern Med* 1999;159:1359-63
- Schein OD, Munoz B, Tielsch JM, et al. Prevalence of dry eye among the elderly. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1997;124:723-8
- Munoz B, West SK, Rubin GS, et al. Causes of blindness and visual impairment in a population of older Americans: The Salisbury Eye Evaluation Study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2000;118:819-25
- Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. Prevalence of and risk factors for dry eye syndrome. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2000;118:1264-8
- Schaumberg DA, Sullivan DA, Buring JE, Dana MR. Prevalence of dry eye syndrome among US women. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2003;136:318-26
- Christen WG, Manson JE, Glynn RJ, et al. Low-dose aspirin and risk of cataract and subtypes in a randomized trial of US physicians. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 1998;5:133-42
- Christen WG, Gaziano JM, Hennekens CH. Design of Physicians' Health Study II—a randomized trial of beta-carotene, vitamins E and C, and multivitamins, in prevention of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and eye disease, and a review of results of completed trials. *Ann Epidemiol* 2000;10:125-34
- Chia EM, Mitchell P, Rochtchina E, et al. Prevalence and associations of dry eye syndrome in an older population: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2003;31:229-32
- McCarty CA, Bansal AK, Livingston PM, et al. The epidemiology of dry eye in Melbourne, Australia. *Ophthalmology* 1998;105:1114-9
- Lin PY, Tsai SY, Cheng CY, et al. Prevalence of dry eye among an elderly Chinese population in Taiwan: the Shihpai Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2003;110:1096-101
- Lee AJ, Lee J, Saw SM, et al. Prevalence and risk factors associated with dry eye symptoms: a population based study in Indonesia. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2002;86:1347-51
- Miljanovic B, Dana MR, Sullivan DA, Schaumberg DA. Prevalence and risk factors for dry eye syndrome among older men in the United States. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2007 (ARVO abstract)
- Ellwein LB, Urato CJ. Use of eye care and associated charges among the Medicare population: 1991-1998. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2002;120:804-11
- Yazdani C, McLaughlin T, Smeeding JE, Walt J. Prevalence of treated dry eye disease in a managed care population. *Clin Ther* 2001;23:1672-82
- Reddy P, Grad O, et al. The economic burden of dry eye: a conceptual framework and preliminary assessment. *Cornea* 2004;23:751-61
- Mertzani P, Abetz L, Rajagopalan K, et al. The relative burden of dry eye in patients' lives: comparisons to a US normative sample. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2005;46:46-50
- Schiffman RM, Christianson MD, Jacobsen G, et al. Reliability and validity of the Ocular Surface Disease Index. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2000;118:615-21
- Brown MM, Brown GC. Utility assessment and dry eye disease. *Ophthalmology* 2004;111:852-3; author reply, 853
- Schiffman RM, Walt JG, Jacobsen G, et al. Utility assessment among patients with dry eye disease. *Ophthalmology* 2003;110:1412-9
- Gulati A, Sullivan R, Buring JE, et al. Validation and repeatability of a short questionnaire for dry eye syndrome. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;142:125-31
- Miljanovic B, Dana R, Sullivan DA, Schaumberg DA. Impact of dry eye syndrome on vision-related quality of life. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2007;143:409-15. Epub 107 Jan2.
- Rajagopalan K, Abetz L, Mertzani P, et al. Comparing the discriminative validity of two generic and one disease-specific health-related quality of life measures in a sample of patients with dry eye. *Value Health* 2005;8:168-74
- Vitale S, Goodman LA, Reed GF, Smith JA. Comparison of the NEI-VFQ and OSDI questionnaires in patients with Sjogren's syndrome-related dry eye. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2004;2:44
- Thomas E, Hay EM, et al. Sjogren's syndrome: a community-based study of prevalence and impact. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;37:1069-76
- Sullivan BD, Cermak JM, Sullivan RM, et al. Correlations between nutrient intake and the polar lipid profiles of meibomian gland secretions in women with Sjogren's syndrome. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2002;506:441-8
- Sutcliffe N, Stoll T, Pyke S, Isenberg DA. Functional disability and end organ damage in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and Sjogren's syndrome (SS), and primary SS. *J Rheumatol* 1998;25:63-8
- Strombeck B, Ekdahl C, Manthorpe R, et al. Health-related quality of life in primary Sjogren's syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis and fibromyalgia compared to normal population data using SF-36. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2000;29:20-8
- Bjerrum K, Prause JU. Primary Sjogren's syndrome: a subjective description of the disease. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1990;8:283-8
- Godart GL, Hartkamp A, Geenen R, et al. Fatigue in daily life in patients with primary Sjogren's syndrome and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2002;966:320-6
- Giles I, Isenberg D. Fatigue in primary Sjogren's syndrome: is there a link with the fibromyalgia syndrome? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2000;59: 875-8
- Stevenson HA, Jones ME, Rostron JL, et al. UK patients with primary Sjogren's syndrome are at increased risk from clinical depression. *Gerodontology* 2004;21:141-5
- Valtyisdottir ST, Gudbjornsson B, Lindtqvist U, et al. Anxiety and depression in patients with primary Sjogren's syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 2000;27:165-9
- Begley CG, Chalmers RL, Abetz L, et al. The relationship between habitual patient-reported symptoms and clinical signs among patients with dry eye of varying severity. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2003;44:4753-61
- Bjerrum KB. Test and symptoms in keratoconjunctivitis sicca and their correlation. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 1996;74 436-41
- Goto E, Yagi Y, Matsumoto Y, Tsubota K. Impaired functional visual acuity of dry eye patients. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2002;133:181-6
- Tutt R, Bradley A, Begley C, Thibos LN. Optical and visual impact of tear break-up in human eyes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2000;41:4117-23
- Montes-Mico R, Caliz A, Alio JL. Wavefront analysis of higher order aberrations in dry eye patients. *J Refract Surg* 2004;20:243-7
- Thibos LN, Hong X. Clinical applications of the Shack-Hartmann aberrometer. *Optom Vis Sci* 1999;76:817-25
- Lin YY, Carrel H, Wang IJ, et al. Effect of tear film break-up on higher order aberrations of the anterior cornea in normal, dry, and post-LASIK eyes. *J Refract Surg* 2005;21:S525-S529
- Ishida R, Kojima T, Dogru M, et al. The application of a new continuous functional visual acuity measurement system in dry eye syndromes. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2005;139:253-8
- Nilforoushan MR, Latkany RA, Speaker MG. Effect of artificial tears on visual acuity. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2005;140: 830-5
- Liu Z, Pflugfelder SC. Corneal surface regularity and the effect of artificial tears in aqueous tear deficiency. *Ophthalmology* 1999;106:939-43
- Nichols JJ, Ziegler C, Mitchell GL, Nichols KK. Self-reported dry eye disease across refractive modalities. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2005;46:1911-4
- Nichols J J, Sinnott LT. Tear film, contact lens, and patient-related factors associated with contact lens-related dry eye. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006;47:1319-28
- Albietz JM, Lenton LN, McLennan SB. Chronic dry eye and regression after laser in situ keratomileusis for myopia. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2004;30:675-84
- Albietz JM, Lenton LM, McLennan SG. Dry eye after LASIK: comparison of outcomes for Asian and Caucasian eyes. *Clin Exp Optom* 2005;88:89-96
- Jones RR, Maguire LJ. Corneal complications after cataract surgery in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Cornea* 1992;11:148-50
- Ram J, Sharma A, Pandav SS, et al. Cataract surgery in patients with dry eyes. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1998;24:1119-24
- Ram J, Gupta A, Brar G, et al. Outcomes of phacoemulsification in patients with dry eye. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2002;28:1386-9
- Schaumberg DA, Buring JE, Sullivan DA, Dana MR. Hormone replacement therapy and dry eye syndrome. *JAMA* 2001;286:2114-9
- Miljanovic B, Trivedi KA, Dana MR, et al. Relation between dietary n-3 and n-6 fatty acids and clinically diagnosed dry eye syndrome in women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;82: 887-93
- Hovanesian JA, Shah SS, et al. Symptoms of dry eye and recurrent erosion syndrome after refractive surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2001;27:577-84
- Zegans ME, Anninger W, Chapman C, Gordon SR. Ocular manifestations of hepatitis C virus infection. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 2002;13: 423-7
- Sommer A. Vitamin A deficiency and the global response. *Forum Nutr* 2003;56:33-5
- Lee WB, Hamilton SM, et al. Ocular complications of hypovitaminosis a after bariatric surgery. *Ophthalmology* 2005;112:1031-4
- Jaworski S, Drabkin E, Rozenman Y. Xerophthalmia and undiagnosed eating disorder. *Psychosomatics* 2002;43(6): 506-7.

58. Colev MH, Engel H, Mayers M, et al. Vegan diet and vitamin A deficiency. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2004;43:107-9
59. Kaiserman IN, Kaiserman N, Nakar S, Vinker S. Dry eye in diabetic patients. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2005;139: 498-503
60. Chronister CL. Review of external ocular disease associated with aids and HIV infection. *Optom Vis Sci* 1996;73:225-30
61. Merle HD, Smadja D, Le Hoang P, et al. Ocular manifestations in patients with HTLV-I associated infection--a clinical study of 93 cases. *Jpn J Ophthalmol* 1996;40:260-70
62. Aragona P, Cannavo SP, Boragia F, Guarneri F. Utility of studying the ocular surface in patients with acne vulgaris treated with oral isotretinoin: a randomized controlled trial. *Br J Dermatol* 2005;152:576-8
63. Ozdemir M, Ozdemir G, Sasmaz S, Arican O. Ocular surface disorders and tear function changes in nodulo-cystic acne. *J Dermatol* 2005;32:174-8
64. Hom M, De Land P. Prevalence and severity of symptomatic dry eyes in Hispanics. *Optom Vis Sci* 2005;82:206-8
65. Thomas O, Mahe M, Campion L, et al. Long-term complications of total body irradiation in adults. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2001;49:125-31
66. Bray LC, Carey PJ, Proctor SJ, et al. Ocular complications of bone marrow transplantation. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1991;75:611-4
67. Ogawa Y, Kuwana M. Dry eye as a major complication associated with chronic graft-versus-host disease after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Cornea* 2003;22(7 Suppl):S19-S27
68. Suh DW, Ruttum MS, Stuckenschneider BJ, et al. Ocular findings after bone marrow transplantation in a pediatric population. *Ophthalmology* 1999;106:1564-70
69. Sullivan BD, Evans JE, Dana MR, Sullivan DA. Influence of aging on the polar and neutral lipid profiles in human meibomian gland secretions. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2006;124: 1286-92
70. Sullivan BD, Evans JE, et al. Complete androgen insensitivity syndrome: effect on human meibomian gland secretions. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2002;120:1689-99
71. Cermak JM, Krenzer KL, Sullivan RM, et al. Is complete androgen insensitivity syndrome associated with alterations in the meibomian gland and ocular surface? *Cornea* 2003;22:516-21
72. Sullivan DA, Belanger A, Cermak JM, et al. Are women with Sjogren's syndrome androgen-deficient? *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:2413-9
73. Smith JA, Vitale S, et al. Dry eye signs and symptoms in women with premature ovarian failure. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2004;122:151-6
74. Sullivan BD, Evans JE, Krenzer KL, et al. Impact of antiandrogen treatment on the fatty acid profile of neutral lipids in human meibomian gland secretions. *J Clin Endocr Metab* 2000;85:4866-73
75. Krenzer KL, Dana MR, Ullman MD, et al. Effect of androgen deficiency on the human meibomian gland and ocular surface. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:4874-82
76. Sullivan DA. Androgen deficiency and dry eye syndromes. *Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol* 2004;79:49-50
77. Connor CG, Flockencier LL, Hall CW. The influence of gender on the ocular surface. *J Am Optom Assoc* 1999;70:182-6
78. Brennan MT, Sankar V, Leakan RA, et al. Sex steroid hormones in primary Sjogren's syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 2003 Jun;30:1267-71
79. Schechter JE, Pidgeon M, Chang D, et al. Potential role of disrupted lacrimal acinar cells in dry eye during pregnancy. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2002;506(Pt A):153-7.
80. Cermak JM, Papas AS, Sullivan RM, et al. Nutrient intake in women with primary and secondary Sjogren's syndrome. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003;57:328-34
81. Sullivan RM, Cermak JM, Papas AS, et al. Economic and quality of life impact of dry eye symptoms in women with Sjogren's syndrome. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2002;506(Pt B):1183-8
82. Skyberg K, Skulberg KR, et al. Symptoms prevalence among office employees and associations to building characteristics. *Indoor Air* 2003;13:246-52
83. Wolkoff P, Nojgaard JK, Troiano P, Piccoli B. Eye complaints in the office environment: precorneal tear film integrity influenced by eye blinking efficiency. *Occup Environ Med* 2005;62:4-12
84. McCulley J P, Aronowicz JD, et al. Correlations in a change in aqueous tear evaporation with a change in relative humidity and the impact. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;141:758-60
85. Lindgren T, Andersson K, Dammstrom BG, Norback D. Ocular, nasal, dermal and general symptoms among commercial airline crews. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2002;75:475-83
86. Sato M, Fukayo S, Yano E. Adverse environmental health effects of ultra-low relative humidity indoor air. *J Occup Health* 2003;45:133-6
87. Nakaishi H, Yamada Y. Abnormal tear dynamics and symptoms of eyestrain in operators of visual display terminals. *Occup Environ Med* 1999;56:6-9
88. Blehm CS, Vishnu S, Khattak A, et al. Computer vision syndrome: a review. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2005;50:253-62
89. Farris RL. The dry eye: its mechanisms and therapy, with evidence that contact lens is a cause. *CLAO J* 1986;12:234-46
90. Brennan NA, Efron N. Symptomatology of HEMA contact lens wear. *Optom Vis Sci* 1989;66:834-8
91. Doughty MJ, Fonn D, Richter D, et al. A patient questionnaire approach to estimating the prevalence of dry eye symptoms in patients presenting to optometric practices across Canada. *Optom Vis Sci* 1997;74:624-31
92. Vajdic C, Holden BA, et al. The frequency of ocular symptoms during spectacle and daily soft and rigid contact lens wear. *Optom Vis Sci* 1999;76:705-11
93. Begley CG, Caffery B, Nichols KK, Chalmers R. Responses of contact lens wearers to a dry eye survey. *Optom Vis Sci* 2000;77:40-6
94. Pritchard N, Fonn D, Brazeau D. Discontinuation of contact lens wear: a survey. *Int Contact Lens Clin* 1999;26:157-62
95. Richdale K, Sinnott LT, Skadahl E, Nichols JJ. Frequency of and factors associated with contact lens dissatisfaction and discontinuation. *Cornea* 2007;26:168-74
96. Toda I, Asano-Kato N, Komai-Hori Y, Tsubota K. Dry eye after laser in situ keratomileusis. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2001;132:1-7
97. Wilson SE. Laser in situ keratomileusis-induced (presumed) neurotrophic epitheliopathy. *Ophthalmology* 2001;108:1082-7
98. Hammond MD, Madigan WP Jr, Bower KS. Refractive surgery in the United States Army, 2000-2003. *Ophthalmology* 2005;112:184-90
99. Battat L, Macri A, Dursum D, Pflugfelder SC. Effects of laser in situ keratomileusis on tear production, clearance, and the ocular surface. *Ophthalmology* 2001;108:1230-5
100. De Paiva CS, Chen Z, Koch DD, et al. The incidence and risk factors for developing dry eye after myopic LASIK. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;141:438-45
101. Rajan MS, Jaycock P, et al. A long-term study of photorefractive keratectomy; 12-year follow-up. *Ophthalmology* 2004;111:1813-24
102. Benitez-del-Castillo J M, del Rio T, Iradier T, et al. Decrease in tear secretion and corneal sensitivity after laser in situ keratomileusis. *Cornea* 2001;20:30-2
103. McMonnies CW. Key questions in a dry eye history. *J Am Optometric Assn* 1986;57:512-7
104. Nichols KK, Nichols JJ, Mitchell GL. The reliability and validity of McMonnies Dry Eye Index. *Cornea* 2004;23:365-71
105. Schiffman R, Christianson D, Jacobsen G, et al. Reliability and validity of the Ocular Surface Disease Index. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2000;118:615-21
106. Schein OD, Tielsch JM, Munoz B, et al. Relationship between signs and symptoms of dry eye in the elderly: a population-based perspective. *Ophthalmology* 1997;104:1395-1401
107. Bandeen-Roche K, Munoz B, Tielsch JM, et al. Self-reported assessment of dry eye in a population-based setting. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1997;38:2469-77
108. Oden NL, Lilienfeld DE, Lemp MA, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of a screening questionnaire for dry eye. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1998;438:807-20
109. Mangione CM, Lee PP, Pitts J, et al. Psychometric properties of the National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI-VFQ). *Arch Ophthalmol* 1998;116:1496-1504
110. Begley CG, Caffery B, Chalmers RL, Mitchell GL; Dry Eye Investigation (DREI) Study Group. Use of the dry eye questionnaire to measure symptoms of ocular irritation in patients with aqueous tear deficient dry eye. *Cornea* 2002;21:664-70
111. Hays RD, Mangione CM, Ellwein L, et al. Psychometric properties of the NEI-Refractive Error Quality of Life instrument. *Ophthalmology* 2003;110:2292-301
112. Bowman SJ, Booth DA, Platts RG, et al. UK Sjogren's Interest Group. Validation of the Sicca Symptoms Inventory for clinical studies of Sjogren's syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:1259-66
113. Bjerrum K. Dry eye symptoms in patients and normals. *Acta Ophthalmol (Scand)* 2000;14:15
114. Bjerrum K. Study design and study populations. *Acta Ophthalmol (Scand)* 2000;10:13
115. Shimmura S, Shimazaki J, Tsubota K. Results of a population-based questionnaire on the symptoms and lifestyles associated with dry eye. *Cornea* 1999;18:408-11
116. Jensen JL, Bergem HO, Gilboe IM, et al. Oral and ocular sicca symptoms and findings are prevalent in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Oral Pathol Med* 1999;28:317-22
117. Vitali C, Bombardieri S, Jonsson R, et al. Classification criteria for Sjogren's syndrome: a revised version of the European criteria proposed by the American-European Consensus Group. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;1:554-8
118. Ellwein L. The Eye Care Technology Forum Impacting Eye Care. *Ophthalmology* 1994;101:199-201